AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION

00210117111011		
1. AMENDMENT NO. 07	2. EFFECTIVE DATE – 12/04/2025	3. PAGE 1 OF 1
4. ISSUED BY: Southern Ohio Cleanup Company LLC P.O. Box 368 3930 US Route 23 South Piketon, OH 45661		
5. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (<i>Name, street ,county, state &,zip code</i>) All Offeror(s)	6. AMENDMENT OF (RFP) SOLICITATION NO. FBPSC239551	DATE 10/2/2025
7. The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 8 is extended X_ is not extended.	The hour and date specified for receipt o	f Offers

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 5 and 9, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or e-mail which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by e-mail or letter, provided each e-mail or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

8. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

-Provide Updated Questions and Answers with requested photo attachments.

9A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (<i>Type or pi</i>	rint)	10A. Fluor BWXT Portsmouth LLC Mark Pollard – Contract Administrator	
9B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	9C. DATE SIGNED	10B.	10C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature)	

X-710 Legacy Chemical Remo	X-710 Legacy Chemical Removal Project Pre-Bid Questions		
Question	Company Response		
1 We respectfully request that the deadline for submitting questions be extended to December 5, 2025, and the bid submission deadline to December 22, 2025. These extensions will allow sufficient time for thorough review of the requirements, preparation of comprehensive responses, and submission of competitive bids, ensuring the highest quality and accuracy.	Questions are now due December. 1st and bids are now due December 10th. The project is unable to push out dates beyond those stated above.		
2 Is there a potential to encounter UF6 in the laboratory?	Yes. Stand alone manifolds and small diameter containers.		
3 Does the laboratory have a history of perchloric or picric acid usage? Are there containers of these in the lab?	There were 6 perchloric hoods that were in operation. They have all been tested for perchlorics and were found to have no perchlorics present. There is a final report available.		
4 Are fissile material handling controls required for the facility or contents?	Fissile material handling controls only apply to fissile materials in areas with posted NCS (Nuclear Criticality Safety)controls.		
5 Are any drills and exercises planned during the work period that require the contractor's participation?	It should be assumed that a minimum of two drills will occur during fieldwork on the project and it should be assumed that each drill will dela work for a minimum of 3 hrs. for all field staff.		
6 Who will certify the hood(s)?	SOCCO (The Company) will certify a limited number of hoods.		
7 Are we required to utilize bargaining unit employees to support the field work?	As a specialty contractor the winning bidder will not be required to utilize bargaining unit employees to execute the field work. Applicable training/certifications are still required.		
8 What are the site's requirements for offsite shipment of analytical samples?	The Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR) (49 CFR Parts 171-180) prioritize safety, mandating a conservative approach to hazard classification, packaging, and communication when specific material characteristics are not fully known. This includes specific guidance within 49 CFR Part 173.403 on classifying materials where the exact radionuclide, activity, or hazards are undetermined. While our internal procedures clarify many operational aspects, the interpretation and application of these specific regulatory requirements for unknown hazard falls under the purview of qualified hazardous materials shippers. Onsite DOE approved transporation plan and DOT requirements apply. Refer to procedure FBP-WM-PRO-009 for guidance.		

	Does the contractor's Quality Assurance program need to be fully compliant with all aspects of NQA-1 or is DOE Order 414.1 sufficient?	The J-16 states that the project is to be managed as NQA-1,
10	Are the schedule limitations in the SOW (90 days) correct. This seems to not allow sufficient time considering the durations for plan submittal, field work, analytical, and radiological release under the UE5 process.	All chemicals must be removed from the facility within 90 calendar days of issuance of Notice To Proceed (NTP).
11	How will the waste be characterized as far as radioactivity is concerned?	Chemicals in their original, unopened, containers located within "clean" areas of the facility do not require sampling. Other materials will require sampling or they can be declared as radioactive material (RAM) and processed accordingly. The winning bidder can elect to declare all materials as RAM and forego the initial radiological sampling evolution. Sampling evolutions required by the TSDF still apply. Also see response to question eight above. Waste generated under the scope of the project (Dry Active Waste, Sanitary Waste, Low Level Waste, etc.) will be processed using site procedures.
12	How will you determine if the individual waste bottles are radioactive?	If waste containers are known or expected to contain radioactive material, they will be labeled in accordance with site procedures as radioactive material. If radiological data or historical information is not available for a specific container of waste, then waste will need to be sampled for proper identification and disposition.
13	Can you provide a list of all exempt materials from needing the UE5? (computers, printers, etc.)	Per DOE O 458.1 and implemetning site procedures, personally-owned or issued items (personal vehicels, personal clothing, rings, eyeglasses, etc.) controlled and/or worn by an individual are exempt from the UE5 process. Note: If the subcontractor vehicle enters into an radiologically controlled areas, then a UE5 is required.
14	After the waste is packaged, will it all need to sit for 30 days before we can return to ship?	The waste containers can be shipped when the sample results come back and it is determined if the containers will be shipped as radioactive material or free released with a UE5.
15	Will a working fume hood be provided in order to test the unknown chemicals?	Yes, limited fume hoods will be provided. The primary hood for Contractor use will be located in room 185.
16	What PPE will be needed for the project?	All required PPE beyond the radiological PPE provided by the company.

17 What kind of assistance will be provided by Fluor during the room-to-room consolidation of chemicals?	Facility SME's will be involved in room-to-room consolidation of chemicals; however it is not to be assumed that they will be present at all times. A full-time SOCCO field superintendant will remain onsite during the consolidation effort.
 Will samples of small amounts of unknown materials be required or can a fingerprint scan be done? This is for instances where there may not be enough material to sample for analysis. Will laptops not requiring access to the SOCCo IT network be allowed for the purpose of performing work? Please confirm, per RFP Section L.6, whether the small business standard of \$25 million is applicable to this procurement as the SBA small business standard for NAICS 562910 is <1,000 employees. 	Potentially, the specific scanning method will need to be evaluated and approved by SOCCO. Yes With this being an Environmental Remediation the SBA standard for this service will be <1,000 employees.
21 Please confirm the line item under which SOCCo would like bidders to include cost for transportation and disposal of waste.	For the known items provided on the chemical inventories these costs will be included in line 3 - (Completion of Legacy Chemical removal.) For the unknown chemicals this cost should be included in the Price / Unit which a Not to Exceed of \$250,000.00 will be provided.
22 The SOW states that offerors are required to bring their own forklift onsite. Would it be possible for FBP/SOCCo provide a forklift and operator for use during performance of this contract?	No
Is it FBP/SOCCo's expectation that chemicals will be free-released as part of the work performance, or should bidders assume that all chemicals will need to be treated as radiologically contaminated?	
The bid package states that chemicals (including cylinders) must be sent to a TSDF. If we have a recycling option for the gas cylinders, can we pursue that option in lieu of Treatment/disposal at a TSDF?	
25 Are there any photos of the cylinders that can be shared with the bidders?	See attached photos.

ı		
26	Section 5.3.1 references spill containment requirements for liquids. Please	Winning bidder will need to provide spill containment as necessary.
	confirm whether the Contractor must supply spill pallets for liquid chemical	
	containers, and whether any site-standard spill containment specifications	
	must be used.	
27	Please identify whether dedicated staging or laydown areas inside or	We will have dedicated spaces for contractor use inside the facility (Rm.
	adjacent to X-710 will be provided for Contractor use, and specify any	185) and just outside of the facility. The rooms inside limited in size, but
	associated space limitations, access restrictions, or scheduling	multiple rooms can be designated for your use. Outside of the facility will
	requirements.	be limited to the west side of building where the loading dock is located.
28	Is a loading dock available for Contractor use for receiving materials and	We will have dedicated spaces for contractor use just outside of the
	staging outbound chemical waste shipments? If so, please clarify location,	facility. Outside of the facility will be limited to the west side of building
	scheduling requirements, access controls, and whether SOCCo/FBP will	where the loading dock is located.
	provide dock personnel or equipment.	
29	Section C requires compliance with Attachment J-16 and submission of a	A project specific Quality assurance plan is required.
	Quality Assurance Program Plan and supporting Inspection Procedures per	
	Attachment J-8. Please clarify whether SOCCo requires separate, project-	
	specific QA procedures, or if existing corporate QA procedures may be	
	submitted if compliant with these requirements.	
30	Section 3.1.11 references unknown or uncharacterized chemicals. Should	Yes
	Offerors assume that all unknowns must be handled and priced as	
	radioactive until characterization is completed?	
31	Rad / Non-Rad Determination and "No Rad Added" Criteria: Several DOE	In 2023, DOE published authorized volumetric release limits in DOE-STD-
	sites use a formal "No Rad Added" program that incorporates process	1241-2023, a standard to supplement DOE O 458.1. Those limits are
	knowledge and statistical sampling to determine when materials may be free-	flowed down into our site Environamental Radiation Protection Plan and
	released as non-radiological. The solicitation does not identify an equivalent	RP implemeting procedure (DND-RP-PRO-00004). SOCCo Radiation
	SOCCo/FBP procedure. Please clarify whether SOCCo/FBP maintains such a	Protection will utilize site procedures to document release of items.
	program or procedure, and if so, provide the governing policy/procedure,	
	sampling or statistical requirements, and acceptance criteria. If no formal	
	procedure exists, please clarify what criteria will be used to determine when	
	materials may be considered non-radiological. Additionally, for pricing the	
	items in Exhibit 3 and the chemical inventory in Amendment 2, please	
	identify the authoritative source Offerors should use to determine whether	
	each chemical is considered radioactive or non-radiological.	

32	Please clarify whether chemical hoods are available for Contractor use	Limited chemical hoods are available within the facility.
	during sampling, chemical evaluations, and chemical stabilization (if	
	required), or if the Contractor is expected to provide and install temporary	
	chemical hoods or local ventilation systems.	
33	The amendments reference a 30-day allowance for UE5 to release equipment	Once analytical results are provided to SOCCo RP group, a 30-day
	in radiological areas. However, no comparable turnaround times are	allowance for UE5 release would be applicable. RP field resources will be
	provided for chemical-related radiological surveys. Please clarify the	provided to support on-site sampling activities, clearance of items from
	expected RadCon response times for chemical sampling support, free-	radiological areas, release of items from DOE control, and performance of
	release determinations, and survey results, and whether Offerors should	surveys to support offsite shipment.
	assume on-demand support, scheduled/queued support, or defined	
	maximum turnaround durations.	
34	Will supplemental lighting for the laboratories and work areas be provided by	Contractor will provide any additional temporary lighting.
	SOCCo/FBP, or should the Contractor assume responsibility for all	
	temporary lighting necessary to safely perform chemical sampling,	
	evaluations, and stabilization activities?	
35	Please confirm which utilities (power, lighting, ventilation, water,	Power, limited lighting, limited ventilation and steam for heat are available
	compressed air) are currently available and operable within X-710, and	within the facility. No other utilities are available.
	whether the Contractor should assume responsibility for supplying	
	temporary utilities where systems are unavailable or unreliable.	
	Will disposal certificates be required for 100 percent of the chemical	Yes, disposal certificates will be required for 100 percent of the chemical
	inventory within the 150-day performance period identified in Exhibit 1,	inventory identified in the contract. We understand that certain disposal
	Milestone Schedule? Our concern is that disposal timelines at Treatment,	timelines at Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDFs) may
	Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDFs) are not within the Contractor's	extend beyond the 150-day period of performance due to factors outside
	control, and certain chemicals routinely require additional processing time	the Contractor's control.Any such delays will be addressed during the
	based on the TSDF's operating schedule.	contract closeout phase, and appropriate documentation will be required
		to support final invoicing and completion.

37 Alternatively, will the Government allow disposal certificates to be submitted after the 150-day performance period in accordance with the TSDF's permitted disposal timeframe (e.g., TSDFs are allowed up to one year to process waste)? If disposal certificates may be submitted after the 150-day period, please clarify whether project closeout activities and/or the release of retainage will be delayed until all disposal certificates have been received.

Yes, disposal certificates may be submitted after the 150-day performance period, in accordance with the TSDF's permitted disposal timeframe. We recognize that certain waste streams may require extended processing times beyond the control of the Contractor. Project closeout activities will proceed as scheduled. However, retainage associated specifically with the delayed disposal certificates may be held until all required documentation is received and verified. The extent of retainage held will be evaluated based on the circumstances and supporting documentation provided.

Will SOCCo consider changing from LPTA to best value for proposal evaluation and award determination? The reason for the question is there is considerable risk associated with the disposition of chemicals and the broad nature of the inventory requires specialized knowledge to price and perform the scope of work in a safe and compliant manner. Choosing the LPTA proposal may represent substantial risk to SOCCo.

An in depth review of all proposals will be conducted to ensure each company is technically acceptable before award. While we recognize the specialized risks associated with chemical disposition, the current evaluation method of Lowest Price Technically Acceptable (LPTA) will remain as the award option.

















